

Aerosol Characterization using Airborne HSRL Measurements

Richard Ferrare¹, Chris Hostetler¹, John Hair¹, Sharon Burton¹, Ray Rogers¹, Mike Obland¹, Carolyn Butler², Amy Jo Swanson², Anthony Cook¹, David Harper¹, Bruce Anderson¹, Lee Thornhill², Antony Clarke³, Cam McNaughton³, John Ogren⁴, Elisabeth Andrews⁴, Charles Brock⁴, Dan Lack⁴, Justin Langridge⁴, Karl Froyd⁴, John Hubbe⁵, Alex Laskin⁵, Jerome Fast⁵, Stephen Springston⁶, Haflidi Jonsson⁷

> ¹NASA/LaRC; ²SSAI; ³SOEST-Univ. Hawaii; ⁴NOAA ESRL; ⁵PNNL; ⁶BNL; ⁷CIRPAS-NPS









HSRL MEASUREMENTS AND AEROSOL CLASSIFICATION

NASA Langley Airborne High Spectral Resolution Lidar (HSRL)



NASA LaRC airborne HSRL:

- Independently measures aerosol backscatter, extinction, and optical thickness
- Provides intensive aerosol parameters to help determine aerosol type
- Deployed on NASA LaRC B200 King Air
- Nadir viewing from 9 km flight altitude



HSRL Aerosol Data Products:

- Scattering ratio (532 nm)
- Backscatter coefficient (532, 1064 nm)
- Extinction Coefficient (532 nm)
- Backscatter Wavelength Dependence (532/1064 nm)
- Extinction/Backscatter Ratio ("lidar ratio") (532 nm)

• Depolarization (532, 1064 nm)



Airborne HSRL has logged >1077 science flight hours > 330 science flights

HSRL Aerosol Measurements







Aerosol Classification

Motivation:

AEROCOM aerosol transport model intercomparisons have found large diversity in partitioning of AOT among the major aerosol types



Objective:

Use airborne HSRL measurements to infer aerosol type and apportion aerosol optical thickness to type to help assess model simulations of aerosol type

Aerosol Classification Using HSRL Measurements





- Uses four aerosol intensive parameters to classify aerosols
- Employs a training set of known types
- Estimates the 4-D normal distributions of classes from labeled data
- Computes Mahalanobis distance to compute probability of each point belonging to each class
- •HSRL data acquired from 2006-2010 are classified
- Technique described by Burton et al. (2011) (AMTD)

Aerosol Classification using HSRL Measurements



Evaluation of HSRL classification of aerosol type using airborne in situ data - April 19, 2008 – ARCTAS/ARCPAC



Biomass burning smoke is dominant aerosol type inferred from HSRL measurements of aerosol intensive parameters

NOAA P-3 PALMS aerosol composition data shows high biomass burn fraction

NOAA P-3 PALMS aerosol size/composition



Apportionment of AOT to Aerosol Type



Fraction of AOT contributed by various aerosol types varies with location



(Warneke et al., 2010; Molina et al., 2010; deFoy et al., 2011)



VERTICAL VARIABILITY OF AEROSOL TYPES

Apportionment of Aerosol Extinction Profile to Type -ARCTAS



ARCTAS 1 (Spring – Alaska)

- Ice was pronounced from 2-5 km
- Smoke found at all altitudes
- Lowest levels had variety of aerosol types
- Urban type was most prominent at lowest levels



ARCTAS 2 (Summer – Canada)

- Ice/dust found only at high altitude
- Lowest levels (< 2 km) smoke 2 (fresh smoke)
- Biomass burning was dominant type 2-6 km
- Smoke 1 (aged smoke) was dominant 2-5 km



Vertical Variability of Aerosol Types





- Multiple aerosol types are often found in a given profile (example - August 2, 2007 over Atlantic Ocean east of Norfolk)
- HSRL observations (2006-2009) show that:
 - multiple aerosol types are required to account for most (67% - 90%) of AOT in about 40%-75% of cases
- CALIPSO observations and GOCART simulations coincident with HSRL measurements also show multiple aerosol types are often required



INFERRING FINE MODE FRACTION FROM HSRL DATA



Motivation:

- Anthropogenic aerosols are predominantly submicrometer
- Fine mode fraction (FMF) retrievals from satellite sensors have been used as a tool for deriving anthropogenic aerosols
- Although satellite data from passive sensors have provided column-averaged FMF over the ocean, satellite retrievals of FMF profiles have not been demonstrated over land

Definitions:

- Fine Mode Fraction (FMF) is the fraction of aerosol optical depth associated with the fine aerosol mode.
- Submicrometer fraction (SMF) is the fraction of aerosols with diameters less than 1 micrometer and is closely related to FMF

Objective:

 We examine the feasibility of using airborne lidar data to infer profiles of SMF **Coincident HSRL, RSP, and Airborne In Situ Aerosol** Measurements used to investigate retrievals of SMF

During several experiments, airborne in situ aerosol data were acquired within the HSRL "curtains" thereby facilitating direct correlations of the lidar observables to in situ measurements of particle size and composition

Measurements Coincident with HSRL Field Campaigns: Field Mission Location Period ARCTAS 1 (NASA-DOE-NOAA) CALIPSO RSP Antran 2006 (3), 2007 (3), 2008 (4), 2009 (3), 2010(5) April 1-20, 2008 MILAGRO¹ March 2006 NSF C130, DOE Mexico City NOAA WP-30 G1, J-31 CALIPSO Validation Eastern USA Summer X 2006 HAPS (DOE-NASA) TexAQS/GoMACCS² Texas NOAA P3, CTO3 Х Aug-Sep June 3-29, 2007 2006 ACORO (DOE-NASA) CALIPSO/MODIS/CATZ (NASA) January 17– Aug 11, 2007 Ocean Subsurface (NASA-ODU-NYU) San Joaquin Valley California Feb 2007 June 3-26, 2009 CHAPS/CLASIC4 Oklahoma Jun 2007 DOE G1. CTO³ Х ARCTAS 2 (NASA) September 9-29, 2009 Х CATZ⁵ Eastern US Aug 2007 DOE G1 June 25 – July 14, 2008 CALIPSO Validation Caribbean Feb 2008 X X CalNex (NOAA) May 12-25, 2010 ARCTAS⁶ Spring Alaska Apr 2008 Convair 580. CALIPSO Validation (NASA) NASA P3, NOAA CARES (DOE) June 3-28, 2010 June 14 - Aug 10, 2006 P3, NASA DC8 January 22 - April 17, 2009 NASA P3, NASA ARCTAS⁶ Summer Canada Jun-Jul 2008 Х Х April 8 - 22, 2010 San Joaquin Valley (EPA) DC8 February 8-21, 2007 **EPA Birmingham** Sep-Oct 2008 Х Bermuda/Caribbean Birmingham August 11-28, 2010 AL **CIRPAS Twin Otter** RACORO7 Oklahoma CTO³ XX Jun 2009 Х TexAQ5 II/GoMACC5 NOAA-DOE-NASA Ocean Subsurface Norfolk Sept 2009 Х XX CALNEX⁸ May 2010 DOE G1, NOAA XX Aug 27 - Sep 29, 2006 California P3, CTO³ Gulf Oil Spill / CALIPSO validation (NASA) California DOE G1, NOAA CARES Jun 2010 XX May 10-11 and July 9-11, 2010 P3 CRC Convair-58 Gulf Oil Spill Gulfport MS X XX Summer Caribbean CALIPSO Val. (NASA) MAXMex/MILAGRO/INTEX-B 2010 Jan. 22 - Feb. 3, 2008 DOE-NSF-NASA-Mexico CALIPSO Validation 140 W August 2010 Х XX Caribbean March 1-30, 2006 Eastern USA CALIPSO Validation March 2011 Х (night Birmingham (EPA) NASA P3 DISCOVER-AO Baltimore-July 2011 120 W 100 W Sept 16-Oct 16, 2008 DC



NSE C-110

NASA DC-







Extinction Angström Exponent and SMF

Data from these missions show:

- Airborne in situ measurements of SMF are correlated with Angström exponents derived from in situ measurements of scattering or extinction in a manner similar to those found from previous missions
- HSRL measurements of extinction Angström exponent are correlated with in situ measurements of SMF

HSRL measurements of extinction Angström exponent may be used to infer SMF





In Situ Scattering (or Extinction) Angstrom Exponent



HSRL Extinction Angstrom Exponent (532-1064 nm)



Inferring profiles of SMF from HSRL Measurements of Extinction Angstrom Exponent



HSRL measurements of aerosol extinction Angström exponent are used to infer profiles of SMF during MILAGRO and ARCTAS





HSRL MEASUREMENTS OF HUMIDIFICATION IMPACTS ON AEROSOL OPTICAL PROPERTIES

HSRL measurements of Changes in Aerosol Properties associated with Humidification



- DISCOVER-AQ July 22, 2011
 Large increase in aerosol backscatter and extinction associated with increase in RH from 1 to 2 km
- Increase in RH also caused variations in aerosol intensive parameters





Humidification impacts on aerosol optical parameters



- At high (>85%) RH, HSRL data show larger increases in backscatter and extinction than derived from in situ f(RH)
- Decrease in Aerosol Depolarization with RH associated with particles becoming more spherical
- Increase in Backscatter Angstrom Exponent with RH associated with increased scattering in accumulation (fine) mode relative to coarse mode aerosols





- Retrieve profile measurements of aerosol extinction, backscatter, and aerosol optical depth
- Qualitatively classify aerosol type and apportion aerosol optical depth to type
- Infer of profiles of submicrometer fraction
- Quantify effects of aerosol humidification on aerosol extensive and intensive parameters

Goals

- Combine HSRL and passive measurements to better quantify profile of aerosol optical and microphysical parameters
- Retrieve aerosol optical and microphysical parameters from advanced multiwavelength airborne HSRL under development



Thanks for your attention!

Questions ?



Extra Slides

Aerosol Humidification Effects

Motivation:

- Hygroscopic growth can have a large impact on measured aerosol optical properties
- Difficult for in situ instruments to characterize hygroscopic growth at high (>85%) RH
- Very high diversity among models for water uptake



Fraction of ambient aerosol

Textor et al. (2006)

Objective:

Quantify effects of aerosol humidification using HSRL measurements





- Retrieve profile measurements of aerosol extinction, backscatter, and aerosol optical depth
- Qualitatively classify aerosol type and apportion aerosol optical depth to type
- Infer of profiles of submicrometer fraction
- Goals
 - Combine HSRL and passive measurements to better quantify profile of aerosol optical and microphysical parameters
 - Retrieve aerosol optical and microphysical parameters from advanced multiwavelength airborne HSRL under development



Motivation:

Long range transport of aerosols depends on whether aerosols injected within or above PBL

Objective:

Use airborne HSRL measurements to derive PBL height and fraction of Aerosol Optical Thickness (AOT) within PBL

Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL) Height Retrievals and AOT

HSRL data used to determine:

- PBL height
- Upper and lower limits of the backscatter transition (i.e. entrainment) zone
- Fraction of aerosol optical thickness within PBL

During DOE CARES Mission(Sacramento)

- HSRL and WRF-Chem PBL heights are in reasonably good agreement in afternoon
- Much of AOT remains above PBL











Note the variability in aerosol intensive parameters over Mexico City





Apportionment of aerosol AOT to type over Mexico City – March 13, 2006



HSRL measurements of Aerosol Humidification



- Large increase in aerosol backscatter and extinction associated with increase in RH from 1 to 2 km
- Increase in RH also caused variations in aerosol intensive parameters







Variability in the Vertical Distribution of Aerosols – DISCOVER-AQ - July 2011







- Aerosol extinction between 0-3 km over Baltimore Washington, DC.
- during DISCOVER-AQ
- Similar AOT values on July 21 and 22
- 0.3 to 0.35 0.25 to 0.3

0.45 to 0.5

0.4 to 0.45

0.35 to 0.4

0.2 to 0.25

0.15 to 0.2

0.1 to 0.15

0.05 to 0.1

0 to 0.05

0.45 to 0.5 0.4 to 0.45 0.35 to 0.4 0.3 to 0.35

0.25 to 0.3

0.2 to 0.25

0.15 to 0.2

0.1 to 0.15

0.05 to 0.1 0 to 0.05

- Decrease in aerosol extinction with altitude
- Highest aerosol extinction within the lowest kilometer
- July 22
 - Increase in aerosol extinction with altitude
 - Highest aerosol extinction found about 2 km above surface

Vertical distribution of aerosols changed appreciably on consecutive days

Vertical Distribution of Aerosols



Median Aerosol Extinction Profiles



Comparison of HSRL data and GEOS-5 model – ARCTAS - 2008

- Overall, good agreement between average HSRL measurements and GEOS-5 simulations of aerosol extinction
- HSRL measurements of aerosol depolarization used to estimate dust fraction following Sugimoto and Lee (2006)
- GEOS-5 dust fractions are generally higher than HSRL estimates and these differences increase with altitude





- Uses four aerosol intensive parameters (i.e. parameters that depend only on aerosol type, not amount)
- Computes Mahalanobis distance, instead of Euclidean distance, to sort points into classes
- Employs a training set comprised of 24 labeled samples (over 26000 points, about 0.35% of all data)
- Estimates the 4-D normal distributions of classes from labeled data, then calculates Mahalanobis distance from each point to each class



Classification results for all HSRL measurements





Vertical Distribution of Aerosols



Motivation:

- Vertical distribution of aerosols impacts:
 - aerosol transport
 - air quality
 - direct and indirect effects on radiation
- Considerable diversity among model simulations of vertical profiles of aerosols

Objective:

Use airborne HSRL measurements to examine and quantify vertical profile of aerosols for use in assessing models and interpreting column measurements

Variability in the Vertical Distribution of Aerosols



Arctic – aerosols spread

California San Joaquin Valley – aerosols concentrated in PBL

Vertical distribution of aerosols varies widely and depends on many factors



San Joaquin Valley, California, Feb. 16, 2007





HSRL Profiles of Aerosol Backscatter are used to Evaluate WRF-Chem Model





Fast et al., 2011

NASA/LaRC B200/HSRL March 12, 2006



Airborne HSRL data:

- reveal complexity of mixing and transport of particulates
- used to indirectly evaluate meteorological predictions
- Model can reproduce most aspects of PBL in vicinity of Mexico City

Model requires smaller vertical grid spacing to resolve shallow layering observed by lidar